



I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service first class mail in an envelope addressed to:  
Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on  
June 4, 2003  
QUINE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, P.C.

By: Trainor

Atty Docket No: 12E-989110US

Client Ref: G67

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re application of:

Jagdish Parasrampur; Maxine B. Yonker;  
Kenneth E. Schwartz; Marc J. Gurwith

Application No.: 09/526,802

Filed: 3/16/2000

For: **DHEA Composition And Method**

Examiner: Qazi, S.

Art Unit: 1616

**RESPONSE**

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

**RECEIVED**  
JUN 11 2003  
TECH CENTER 1600/2900

*F. TUCK*  
*#22*  
*6/19/03*

**RESPONSE TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION**

Dear Sir:

In response to the Final Office Action dated April 4, 2003, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the above-identified application in view of the Supplemental Response filed on March 7, 2003 and received by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on March 13, 2003.

The following documents are enclosed herewith:

- 1) Supplemental Response dated March 7, 2003;
- 2) Stahly Declaration (with Exhibits A-J);
- 3) Stahly Curriculum Vitae; and
- 4) Date-stamped Receipt Acknowledgement Postcard.

**REMARKS**

The Final Office Action acknowledged the Amendment received on December 23, 2002, but not the Supplemental Response received on March 13, 2003. Nor does the Final Office Action give any indication that the Stahly Declaration accompanying the Supplemental Response was considered by the Examiner. Accordingly, in response to the Final Office Action, Applicants resubmit the previously filed Supplemental Amendment and Stahly Declaration.